

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JOSHUA D. BRODSKY,)	3:14-cv-00641-RCJ-WGC
)	
Plaintiffs,)	<u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u>
vs.)	
)	November 10, 2015
WARDEN BACA, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: KATIE LYNN OGDEN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Before the court is Plaintiff's "Motion for Court's Instruction on Notice of E-File Filing [Doc. #168]." (ECF No. 178.)¹ Plaintiff submitted a copy of the Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) for #168 and asks the court to clarify what that document (#168) pertains to, i.e., "is it in response or reply" (ECF No. 178 at 2.)

When ECF No. 168² was filed, the court noted the NEF incorrectly linked this filing to *Plaintiff's* motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 163). That same day, the court corrected the docket of this case to reflect that the reply (# 168) is linked to *Defendants'* motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 117).

In accordance with Local Rule 7-2 (which contemplates the filing of a motion, a response and a reply) Defendants' motion (ECF No. 117) is fully briefed and has been submitted to the court. No further briefing is necessary or allowed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/
Deputy Clerk

¹ Refers to court's Electronic Case Filing number.

² Defendants' Reply to Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.